

RECEIVED

JUN 2 9 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

APPLICATION NO. F	LING DATS	FIRST NAMED DIVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,381	12/19/2001	Monica A. McClintic .	29757/AG60	3571
7590 Marshall, Ger 6300 Sears Tower		RECEIVE		MINER MICHAEL W
233 S. WACKER DR CHICAGO, IL 6060	VE	JAN 0 9 200	4 ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

MARSHALL GERSTEIN MAILED: 01/06/2004

Docketed:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

·	OIPE				
ſ	AC DO SE	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
\cdot		10/029,381		MCCLINTIC, MO	NICA A.
	Office Action Suttymary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	MADEMAN	Michael O'Nei		3713	· ·
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	eers on the co	rer sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress -
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be evallable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply appecified above, the maximum statutory period if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Fallume to reply within the act or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned potent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	Y IS SET TO E 36(a). In no event, h within the statutory will apply and will exp , cause the applicatic data of this commun	XPIRE 3 MONTH(owever, may a reply be till minimum of thinly (30) day tre SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE staction, even if timely file	(S) FROM mely filed FCW ys will be considered time the making date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). d, may reduce any	PECEIVE JUN 2 9 2004 OLOGY CENTER REPOR
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 D	ecember 2001	•	··	.:
	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-f	nal:		
	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the c				ne merits is
	Disposition of Claims		.•		
	4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application		÷		· .
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consid	ieration.		· .
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-9.11-40.42-51 and 53-55</u> is/are reje	ected.		.*	
	7) Claim(s) 10,41,52 and 56 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	v election requ	iroment		·
	,— · · · —	n election requ	memeric.		Ì
	Application Papers :	•	<i>:</i>	•	<i></i>
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		- L	- Franks	:
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		•		· :
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	;
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen			_At At	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	ts nave been r xity document	ecervea in Applici s have been recei	ived in this Nation	nal Stage
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest				nal application)
	since a specific reference was included in the fit 37 CFR 1.78.	rst sentence of	the specification	or in an Applicati	on Data Sheet.
	a) The translation of the foreign language pr				:
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the contract o				
	Attachment(s)			:	
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5	Interview Summa Notice of Informa Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/029,381

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere
Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for
establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,381 Art Unit: 3713

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 12-21, 23, 24, 26, 28-39, 43-51 and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Luciano, Jr. et al., USPN 6,050,895.

Luciano Jr. et al. discloses a gaming system that allows players to play a game of skill and a game of chance. Luciano Jr. et al. in the beginning of the specification focusing on the gaming system allowing the player to first play a game of skill and upon successful completion of the game of skill moving onto a bonus round which is a game of chance. However, near the end of the specification, Luciano Jr. et al. discloses that the system previously disclosed can be reversed wherein a game of chance is played and upon a predetermined trigger event the player enters into a bonus round. The bonus round consists of a game of skill. This game of skill can be played either versus the computer or versus another play, thus meeting the "challenge" limitations the Applicant is claiming within these claims.

Claims 4, 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luciano, Jr. et al. in view of Walker et al., USPN 6,142,872.

Luciano, Jr. et al. lacks clearly disclosing the concept of « team player » . In an analogous gaming system, Walker et al. Application/Control Number: 10/029,381

Art Unit: 3713

teaches that it is well known in the art to provide "team play" competition because it provides a sense of communalism among players in the casino. Therefore, it would have been obvious to incorporate such teachings into the Luciano, Jr. et al. gaming system for the reasoning given in Walker et al.

Claims 8, 11, 22, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luciano, Jr. et al.

Re. claim 8: Absent a showing of criticality via unexpected results, it is deem obvious to one skilled in the art to deduct credits from a player for not generating a winning outcome at the base game.

Re. claims 11 and 25: Absent a showing of criticality via unexpected results, it is deem obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize random number generation as the means to determine winners between players.

Re. claims 22 and 27: Absent a showing of criticality via unexpected results, it is deem obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize a "theme" while issuing a win value to a winning player.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10, 41, 52 and 56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten

Application/Control Number: 10/029,381

Art Unit: 3713

Page 5

in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael O'Neill whose telephone number is 703-308-3484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa J. Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5648.

MON

MICHAEL O'NEILL

.•	•.		(JUN 2 3 700L					
	•		Notice of Reference		Application/C 10/029,381	Control No.	Reexamination	Patent Under on MONICA A.
			Notice of Metebelice:	s Cited	Examiner Michael O'N	eilli	Art Unit 3713	Page 1 of 2
٠	•				U.S. PATENT DOCUM	ENTS	FI	//
ſ	•		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date Date		Name	JUN 2 9 20	ED Classification
ı		Α	US-4,582,324	04-1986	Koza et al.	'ECHNO	LOCK ZU	463/16
1	_	В	US-4,805,907	02-1989	Hagiwara, Takashi	1	OLOGY CENTER	A3720 463/26
		С	US-4,926,327	05-1990	Sidley, Joseph D. H.			463/13
	\neg	٥	US-5,159,549 A	10-1992	Hallman et al.			483/26
		E	US-5,342,049 A	08-1994	Wichinsky et al.			273/119R
	\Box	F	US-5,580,308 A	12-1996	Nakamura, Toshiki			· 463/7
	\neg	G	US-5,779,549	07-1998	Walker et al.	•		463/42
	\neg	н	US-5,971,849 A	10-1999	Falciglia, Sal			463/16
		1	US-6,050,895 A	04-2000	Luciano et al.			463/7
		J	US-6,142,872 A	11-2000	Walker et al.			463/16
		К	US-6,193,606 B1	02-2001	Walker et al.	<u> </u>		463/20
		L	US-6,364,765 B1	04-2002	Walker et al.	-		463/16
		м	US-6,386,974 B1	05-2002	Adams, William R.			463/16
					FOREIGN PATENT DOC	UMENTS		:
	*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	, Name	•	Classification
		2	GB2072395A	09-1981	UNITED KINGDOM	KENNEDY		<u> </u>
·		0	GB2062922A	05-1981	UNITED KINGDOM	PARKER		<u></u>
i		Ρ	GB2092796A	08-1982	UNITED KINGDOM	MORRISEY		<u> </u>
		a	GB2197974A	06-1988	UNITED KINGDOM	EVANS		·
		R	GB2226436A	06-1990	UNITED KINGDOM	LEE		
		S	GB2229565A	09-1990	UNITED KINGDOM	WAIN		
		T	GB2320206A	06-1998	UNITED KINGDOM	STANLEY		<u> </u>
				· ···	NON-PATENT DOCU			
	*		Includ	le as applicable	e: Author, Title Date, Publ	isher, Edition or Volume	e, Pertinent Page	15)
		U		·				
		٧			•		_	
		 						

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademerk Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 8

· •	•	Notice of Reference	e Citod		Application/C	Control No.		Applicant(Reexamin MCCLINT	ation	
	740000 07 No. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10		Monce of References Cited		Examiner			Art Unit		Base 2 of 2
			•		Michael O'N	eili		3713		Page 2 of 2
				U.S. P/	ATENT DOCUM	ENTS	<u> </u>			
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY			Name	<u>.</u>			Classification
	A	US-6,406,369 B1	06-2002	Baerloo	cher et al.					463/20
	В	US-2003/0064779 A1	04-2003	Suda, S	Satoshi	•	·			463/20
	С	US-					·			
	D.	US-				-				
	Ε	US-			-				\prod	
	F	us-								
	G	US-					:			
	Н	US-	· .							
	1	US-		ŀ						
	J	US-								
	к	US-						•		<u> </u>
T.	L	US-								•
Ē	М	US-			,					:
				FOREIGN	PATENT DOC	UMENTS				
•		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Country		Name	:		Classification
	N						:			••
Γ	0								ŀ	
-	-		1			1				

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
:	w	
	x	

"A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

R S T

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 8

n PTO-1	149 TRADEN	ARLO		Docket Number (Op /5061US (01-01-0		Application N		
INFORM	IN AN APPLI	OSURE CITA	TION	Applicant Monica A. McClintle				
	(Use several sheets			Filing Date Decem	ber 19, 2001	Group Art Unit Unkritig		
	·	U.S.	PATENT	DOCUMENTS				=
EXAMBIER UNITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE		NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FEING DA FAPPRO JU	TE PRIATE
1/00	5,265,874	11/1993	Di	ckinson et al.				ECE
	5,560,603	10/1996		Seelig et al.			30	W 2 0
	5,684,998	09/1997		Seelig et al.		"	CHNOL)o
_	5,769,716	06/1998_		Saffari et al.				CENT
—	.5,779,544	07/1998		Seelig et al.				
	5,806,045	09/1998	:	Blorge et al.				
	5,820,460	10/1998		Fulton				
	5,833,536	11/1998		Davids et al.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	5,851,148	12/1998		Brune et al.		<u> </u>		·
4	5,876,284	03/1999		Acres et al.		<u> </u>		•
PRIS	5.902.983	05/1999					1	
	3,302,300	03/1999	i	Crevelt et al.		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
***	3,302,360			Crevelt et al. NT DOCUMEN	TS		<u>.</u>	
	DOCUMENT	FOREI		NT DOCUMEN		SURCIASS		nstation
	OOCUMENT NUMBER				CLASS	SUBCLASS	. Tra	nstation NO
	DOCUMENT	FOREI		NT DOCUMEN		SUBCLASS		1
	DOCUMENT	FOREI		NT DOCUMEN				1
	DOCUMENT	FOREI		NT DOCUMEN		SUBCLASS		1
	DOCUMENT	FOREI		NT DOCUMEN	CLASS			1
	DOCUMENT	FOREI		NT DOCUMEN				1
	DOCUMENT	FOREIG	GN PATE	NT DOCUMEN	CLASS		YES	NO
	DOCUMENT	FOREIG	GN PATE	COUNTRY	CLASS		YES	NO
	DOCUMENT	FOREIG	GN PATE	COUNTRY	CLASS		YES	NO
	DOCUMENT	FOREIG	GN PATE	COUNTRY	CLASS		YES	NO
	DOCUMENT	FOREIG	GN PATE	COUNTRY	CLASS		YES	NO
	DOCUMENT	FOREIG	GN PATE	COUNTRY	CLASS		YES	NO
	DOCUMENT	FOREIG	GN PATE	COUNTRY	CLASS		YES	NO
XAMINER	DOCUMENT	FOREI	GN PATE	COUNTRY COUNTRY OCUMENTS	CLASS	ng Author, Title, (YES	NO

(2-92) N:\2727\5061\PTO-1449.wpd 12/19/01 Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

orm PTO-14	49 TA	SURE CITATION	Docket Number (Op 5061US (01-01-4	otional) 051)	Application I	tumber 100°
INFORM	ATIOM DISC LU IN AN APPLIC	CATION	Applicant Monte	a A. McClintie		•
	(Use several sheets	M necessary)	Filing Date Decem	Filing Date December 19, 2001 Group Art U		
		U.S. PATE	NT DOCUMENTS			
EXAMPLER	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
MY	5,911,418	06/1999	Adams	-11		REC
	5,947,820	09/1999	Morro et al.			JUN
	5,951,397	09/1999	Dickinson			¢. 2
	6,012,982	01/2000	Piechowiak et al.	<u> </u>		PROCESSION OF THE PROCESSION O
	6,146,273	11/2000	Olsen		 	ļ
V	6.190,255 B1	02/2001	Thomas et al.		1=	ļ
M)	6,203,429 B1	03/2001	Demar et al.		 	
		ļ				
				├		
		 		 	- 	
<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>
		FOREIGN PA	TENT DOCUMEN	ITS		
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Translation
			<u></u>			YES NO
					 	-
	<u>. </u>		· ·	_	 	 -
	}					
 					1	
		OTHE	POOLINENTS			
		OTHER	DOCUMENTS	(Indu	ding Author, Tibe.	Date, Perlinent Pages,
	 					
	 			<u> </u>		
	4	<i>:</i>				
	 					
			•			
						
EXAMINER	O'NEI		DATE CONSID	DERED	,	•

(2-92)

Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

N:12727\5061\PTO-1449.wpd 12/19/01